

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

6 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
7 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
8 LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING REICH  
& BINSTOCK, LLP'S MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD**

9 This Document Relates to:

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

10 *A.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07668

11 *A.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07091

12 *K.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
13 No. 3:25-cv-07700

14  
15 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

16 Having considered Reich & Binstock, LLP's ("Reich's") Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of  
17 Record for Plaintiffs A.E., A.D., and K.H. (ECF 4249), the Court finds that, given that Plaintiffs are  
18 subject to Uber's October 13, 2025 Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause Why 7 Plaintiffs  
19 Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice, granting  
20 withdrawal would cause prejudice to Plaintiffs and Uber, likely delay the progress of this multi-district  
21 litigation, and potentially harm the administration of justice.

22 The Court therefore hereby ORDERS that Reich's Motion to Withdraw is DENIED.

23  
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25  
26  
27 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

28 HON. CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Court Judge